

REFERENCE DOCUMENT

Examiner's Report for the Degree of Master of Theology by Research

Name of candidate:

ID:

Title of Thesis:

Faculty:

Name of Examiner:

Institution and Country of Examiner:

Summary assessment of the thesis (please tick the appropriate box)

In the light of my accompanying report, I recommend that:

A: Pass

The thesis should be classified as PASSED and the candidate awarded the degree of Master of Theology without amendment or further examination.

B: Pass with amendments

The thesis should be classified as PASSED and the candidate awarded the degree of Master of **Error! Reference source not found.** without further examination but subject to the amendments detailed in this report being successfully completed.

C: Defer

The thesis should be classified as DEFERRED and the candidate be given up to twelve months (F/T) to revise and re-submit the thesis for examination.

I am willing to be involved in the re-examination process.

I do not wish to be involved in the re-examination process.

D: Fail

The thesis should be classified as FAILED and the candidate should not be awarded the degree of Master of Theology, nor can the thesis be re-submitted for examination.

I agree to the release of my name to the candidate once the final result has been ratified by the University

Y N

Signature: _____ Date: ____/____/____

Candidature Services, Student Administration

Australian Catholic University Limited ABN 15 050 192 660

Locked Bag 4115
Fitzroy Victoria 3065
Tel: 03 9953 3156
E: res.cand@acu.edu.au
www.acu.edu.au

University Research Committee

Proforma for Examiner's Report for the Degree of Master of Theology by Research

1. The examiner should state as explicitly as possible the grounds on which he or she bases the recommendation given, indicating the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis and including, as appropriate, details of any corrections, amendments or further examination which should be required. **Examiners should attempt in their critical comments to distinguish between those points which require amendment of the thesis and those which are made in the spirit of collegial debate.**
2. Note that the thesis should not be unnecessarily long; it is expected to be no more than 35,000-50,000 words, including appendices.
3. There should be an appropriate balance between the different parts of the thesis. In particular, the original contribution to knowledge should be clearly distinguishable from the introductory material and the survey of the literature.
4. In your report state as explicitly as possible the grounds on which you base the recommendation given, indicating the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis and including, as appropriate, details of any corrections, amendments or further examination which should be required. **Examiners should attempt in their critical comments to distinguish between those points which require amendment of the thesis and those which are made in the spirit of collegial debate.**

Your Report should address the following:

i) The thesis

- a) is an advanced body of knowledge that includes the understanding of recent developments in one or more disciplines and demonstrates the candidate's capacity to critically evaluate, synthesise, and integrate information;
- b) demonstrates the candidate's ability to formulate a research question, design an investigation, and draw appropriate conclusions;
- c) demonstrates the candidate's ability to present evidence in a manner consistent with the expectations of the discipline.

ii) Presentation of the thesis

Please advise on whether the written presentation of the thesis is satisfactory for a higher degree .

iii) Amendments to the thesis

Please specify any changes which should be made to the thesis before the degree is awarded.

The comments of examiners should be of sufficient detail to substantiate any identified weaknesses and strengths. Corrections and amendments which are deemed necessary should be identified clearly so as to ensure that they can be attended to satisfactorily.

5. The reports of examiners are written to the University Research Committee (URC). In keeping with University policy there should not be contact, direct or indirect, between examiners and the candidate or supervisor about the examination of the thesis, except through Candidature Services. All reports, or any correspondence or proposals for contact with the candidate or supervisor about the examination, must be negotiated through Candidature Services.

If supervisors wish to seek clarification or further information from an examiner the request should be made to the examiner through the Candidature Services.

It is the Committee's policy to treat all unpublished parts of theses and the examination process as confidential. It is understood that supervisors and examiners will maintain this confidentiality in both respects. After the Committee has considered the examiners' reports and made its decision on them, each report (or parts thereof) bearing the examiner's name is made available to the supervisor(s) and the candidate, unless confidentiality has been requested.